

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/809,048	10/809,048 03/25/2004		Wayne Robens	17771-298587	5772
25764	7590	02/07/2006		EXAMINER	
FAEGRE 6			BASHORE, ALAIN L		
PATENT D 2200 WELI		., -		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MINNEAPO	MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			1762	
		,		DATE MAILED: 02/07/2006	5 .

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/809,048	ROBENS ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Alain L. Bashore	1762	
- The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	lress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period versiling to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirn will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this con D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 No.	ovember 2005.		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 50 and 53-58 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 50 and 53-58 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the correct of the contract of the correct of the c	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFF	• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National S	itage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	ite	152)

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 50, 53-58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bramwell et al in view of Welte.

Bramwell et al discloses a method of positioning a spray gun at a desired distance from a target surface. A pair of spots are illuminated by first and second laser light beams angled to approach one another and offset sufficiently such that the beams do not touch one another regardless of the distance to the target surface (col 2, lines 50-68; col 3, lines 1-27). Te two spots form an alignment pattern, and there is caused delivery of coating material to the target surface by keeping the spots in a predetermined alignment pattern. There is not disclosed that the light beams are visible light.

Welte discloses positioning light beams as visible light (col 3, lines 55-67).

Application/Control Number: 10/809,048 Page 3

Art Unit: 1762

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to include visible light beams because Welte discloses errors is positioning (col 1, lines 17-30) and the primary reference is also concerned with positioning error.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed of record have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

As is currently claimed by applicant, the term "desired distance" can include a "fixed distance". The argument of "control of the distance between a spray gun to a target surface" is not commensurate in scope with what is claimed. There so specific claimed nexus between distance and alignment pattern.

Regarding the recitation: "angled to approach one another and offset sufficiently such that the beams do not touch one another regardless of the distance to the target surface", this appears to be met by Bramwell et al. There is nothing in the claimed recitation that precludes divergence, as long as they "approach one another", which is seen when the beams are at the substrate surface.

Application/Control Number: 10/809,048 Page 4

Art Unit: 1762

Conclusion

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alain L. Bashore whose telephone number is 571-272-6739. The examiner can normally be reached on about 7:30 am to 5:00 pm (Mon. thru Thurs.).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Meeks can be reached on 571-272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/809,048 Page 5

Art Unit: 1762

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alain L. Bashore Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1762